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## Stress/Strain Field



## Example Case: Diamond Specimen
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## Example Case: Diamond Specimen

## Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal

| - +1.106e-02 |
| :---: |
| - +1.014e-02 |
| +9.221e-03 |
| +8.299e-03 |
| +7.377e-03 |
| +6.455e-03 |
| +5.533e-03 |
| +4.612e-03 |
| +3.690e-03 |
| +2.768e-03 |
| +1.846e-03 |
| +9.244e-04 |
| + $2.648 \mathrm{e}-06$ |

Step: Session Step, Step for Viewer non-persistent fields
Session Frame
Primary Var: Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal
Deformed Var: not set Deformation Scale Factor: not set

## Point Cloud



## Point Cloud and Safety Factor: Binary result "PASS" or "FAIL"



## Point Cloud Limitations



## Point Cloud Limitations



## Point Cloud Limitations



## Strain Amplitude Volume Fraction

- Define a relevant strain amplitude threshold: $\varepsilon_{\text {limit }}$
- Calculate strain amplitude for all integration points
- Calculate the volume of material for all element having a strain amplitude exceeding the threshold: $\sum \vee \varepsilon_{\text {limit }}$
- Calculate the total volume of material in the model: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {total }}$
- The Strain Amplitude Volume Fraction: SAVF $=\frac{\Sigma \mathrm{V}_{\text {limit }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {total }}}$

Hazard probability at any location depends on coincidence of
( $\varepsilon_{\text {amp }}>$ threshold) AND (presence of an impurity)

$$
P_{\text {hazard }}=P(A \cap B)=P(A) \cdot P(B)
$$

$P(A)=$ Probability of an impurity at a location = Volume fraction of impurities detected in the material
$P(B)=$ Probability of strain amplitude exceeding threshold at the same location = Volume fraction of elements exceeding threshold in a finite element analysis model

We are Nitinol. ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\mathrm{m}}$

## Hazard Probability

## Volume fraction of inclusions
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## Volume fraction of inclusions: Considerations

- ASTM F-2063 requires:
- Voids and nonmetallics $\leq 2.8 \%$ area fraction at 500X
- Oxide and Carbide particles $\leq 39.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- Oxide and Carbide $\leq 500$ PPM (by mass)
- None of these provide meaningful information about the volume percent of inclusions in typical materials
- So let's try to figure this out using some new methods...


## Volume fraction of inclusions: Methodology

- SEM micrographs, tubing transverse sections, 500X
- 10 micrographs for typical VAR material
- 10 micrographs for typical high-purity VAR material
- An image processing algorithm was used to isolate particles in each image, and quantify their size in $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- The volume of each particle was estimated as follows:
- if particle area $\leq 25 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, depth $=(\text { particle area })^{1 / 2}$
- if particle area $>25 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, depth $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
- The volume fraction of particles was calculated assuming each cross section accounts for $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ depth


## Typical raw image - VAR material

$264.46 \times 198.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (1280×960); 8-bit; 1.2MB


Typical particle detection - VAR material


151 "particles" (inclusions) detected

## Typical raw image - high purity VAR

$264.46 \times 198.35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (1280x960); 8-bit; 1.2MB

Typical particle detection - ELI

55 "particles" (inclusions) detected

## Volume Histograms for VAR, High Purity VAR



## Quantiles

| $100.0 \%$ | maximum | 1185.67 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $99.5 \%$ |  | 477.427 |
| $97.5 \%$ |  | 77.238 |
| $90.0 \%$ |  | 12.326 |
| $75.0 \%$ | quartile | 3.697 |
| $50.0 \%$ | median | 0.730 |
| $25.0 \%$ | quartile | 0.130 |
| $10.0 \%$ |  | 0.025 |
| $2.5 \%$ |  | 0.009 |
| $0.5 \%$ |  | 0.009 |
| $0.0 \%$ | minimum | 0.009 |


| Summary St | tistics |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 10.793 |
| Std Dev | 58.644 |
| Std Err Mean | 1.48 |
| Upper 95\% Mean | 13.706 |
| Lower 95\% Mean |  |
|  | 1560.000 |
| N=1,560 |  |
| $\mu=10.8$ |  |
| $\sigma=59$ |  |


| Quantiles |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $100.0 \%$ | maximum | 181.000 |
| $99.5 \%$ |  | 142.010 |
| $97.5 \%$ |  | 29.986 |
| $90.0 \%$ |  | 4.772 |
| $75.0 \%$ | quartile | 1.342 |
| $50.0 \%$ | median | 0.200 |
| $25.0 \%$ | quartile | 0.046 |
| $10.0 \%$ |  | 0.009 |
| $2.5 \%$ |  | 0.009 |
| $0.5 \%$ |  | 0.009 |
| $0.0 \%$ | minimum | 0.009 |

## Cumulative probability for inclusions by volume



Cumulative probability for inclusions by volume


We are Nitinol. ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}$
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## Critical strain region volume

- An algorithm was developed
- to identify contiguous regions of elements with a strain amplitude exceeding a defined threshold...
- and measure the volume of each of these regions
- The algorithm has been implemented as an Abaqus Python script
- The critical strain regions are illustrated on the following slides


## Critical strain region volumes: <br> Case 1, strain threshold $=0.4 \%$



The grip region is excluded from calculations

ODB: SE508-fatigue-m3_20-a1_10.odb Abaqus/Standard 6.12-1 Wed May 08 01:13:18 Pacific Daylight Time 2013
Step: Session Step, Step for Viewer non-persistent fields Session Frame

Deformed Var: not set Deformation Scale Factor: not set

# Critical strain region volume 1: <br> Case 1, strain threshold $=0.4 \%$ 

Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal

| $+5.437 \mathrm{e}-03$$+5.306 \mathrm{e}-03$$+5.176 \mathrm{e}-03$$+5.046 \mathrm{e}-03$$+4.915 \mathrm{e}-03$$+4.785 \mathrm{e}-03$$+4.655 \mathrm{e}-03$$+4.524 \mathrm{e}-03$$+4.394 \mathrm{e}-03$$+4.264 e-03$$+4.133 \mathrm{e}-03$$+4.003 \mathrm{e}-03$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Batch 1

ODB: SE508-fatigue-m3_20-a1_10.odb Abaqus/Standard 6.12-1 Wed May 08 01:13:18 Pacific Daylight Time 2013
Step: Session Step, Step for Viewer non-persistent fields
Session Frame
z Primary Var: Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal
Deformed Var: not set Deformation Scale Factor: not set


For region 1, $\mathrm{V}_{\varepsilon}=5,671,500 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

## Critical strain region volume 2: <br> Case 1, strain threshold $=0.4 \%$

Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal


For region 2, $\mathrm{V}_{\varepsilon}=11,702,000 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

## Batch 2



ODB: SE508-fatigue-m3_20-a1_10.odb Abaqus/Standard 6.12-1 Wed May 08 01:13:18 Pacific Daylight Time 2013
Step: Session Step, Step for Viewer non-persistent fields
Session Frame
Primary Var: Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal
Deformed Var: not set Deformation Scale Factor: not set

# Critical strain region volume 3: <br> Case 1, strain threshold $=0.4 \%$ 

Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal


## Batch 3

For region 3, $\mathrm{V}_{\varepsilon}=1,791,300 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{3}$


Step: Session Step, Step for Viewer non-persistent fields
Session Frame
Primary Var: Strain Amplitude, Max. Principal
Deformed Var: not set Deformation Scale Factor: not set

## Probability vs. critical strain region size



## Probability vs. critical strain region size



Probability vs. critical strain region size
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## Hazard Probabilities: VAR Material

| VAR Material |  | unit | Threshold <br> $0.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Probability of an inclusion larger than zero | $[1]$ | $\%$ | $0.64 \%$ |
| Probability of a critical strain region larger | $[2]$ | $\%$ | $2.56 \%$ |
| than zero |  |  |  |

## Hazard Probabilities: VAR Material

| VAR Material |  | unit | Threshold <br> $0.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Probability of an inclusion larger than zero | $[1]$ | $\%$ | $0.64 \%$ |
| Probability of a critical strain region larger <br> than zero | $[2]$ | $\%$ | $2.56 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Hazard probability for model ([1] * $[2])$ | $[3]$ | $\%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| Hazard probability for the model, PPM | $[4]$ | PPM | 164 | ([3]* $10^{\wedge} 6$ )

## Hazard Probabilities: VAR Material

| VAR Material | unit |  | Threshold 0.4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability of an inclusion larger than zero | [1] | \% | 0.64\% |
| Probability of a critical strain region larger than zero | [2] | \% | 2.56\% |
| Hazard probability for model ([1] * [2]) | [3] | \% | 0.02\% |
| Hazard probability for the model, PPM $\left([3]^{*} 10^{\wedge} 6\right)$ | [4] | PPM | 164 |
| Number of repeating features in device | [5] | N | 180 |
| Hazard probability for the device | [6] | \% | 2.95\% |
| Hazard probability for the device, PPM | [7] | PPM | 29,491 |

## Hazard Probabilities: VAR Material

|  |  | unit | Threshold <br> $0.4 \%$ | Threshold <br> $0.6 \%$ | Threshold <br> VAR Material |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |

## Hazard Probabilities: High Purity VAR Material

| High Purity VAR Material |  | unit | Threshold 0.4\% | Threshold 0.6\% | Threshold 0.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability of an inclusion larger than zero | [1] | \% | 0.08\% | 0.08\% | 0.08\% |
| Probability of a critical strain region larger than zero | [2] | \% | 2.56\% | 0.84\% | 0.38\% |
| Hazard probability for model (inclusion >0 coincident with strain region >0) ([1] * [2]) | [3] | \% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Hazard probability for the model, PPM ([3] $\left.{ }^{\star 1} 10^{\wedge} 6\right)$ | [4] | PPM | 20 | 7 | 3 |
| Number of repeating features in device | [5] | N | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Hazard probability for the device | [6] | \% | 0.37\% | 0.12\% | 0.05\% |
| Hazard probability for the device, PPM | [7] | PPM | 3,686 | 1,210 | 547 |

- Extend script to consider strain amplitude threshold as a function of mean strain
- Improve speed of script, and automate analysis
- Extend hazard analysis to incorporate probability as a function of critical strain region size and inclusion size
- Confirm these predictions vs. physical testing results


## Abaqus Python Code for critical strain regions

- Python code, this presentation, and related resources are shared publically on GitHub
- https://github.com/psaffari/strain-amplitude-region
- "Fork it", try the code, contribute improvements!


